

Daniel M. Noland

Selected Cases

Page 1 of 2

Boyd v. City of Chicago, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 113757 (N.D. Ill. 2016). Summary judgment for municipal defendants in reverse conviction claim arising from alleged misconduct of detectives in connection with a 1990 double homicide investigation.

Cannon v. Burge, 752 F.3d (7th Cir. 2014). Summary judgment on behalf of municipality affirmed in reverse conviction claim arising from alleged misconduct of detectives and municipal officials in connection with a 1983 murder investigation.

Moore v. Burge, 771 F.3d 444 (7th Cir. 2014). Successful defense of City of Chicago and municipal officials for excessive force allegations, including class action allegations, alleging deficient policies and practices of the municipality.

Kitchen v. City of Chicago, 781 F. Supp. 2d 721 (N.D. Ill. 2011). Defense of City and municipal officials from reverse conviction and policy allegations arising from investigation of a 1988 quintuple murder.

Richardson v. City of Chicago, 740 F.3d 1099 (7th Cir. 2013). Defense verdict at federal jury trial on behalf of detectives, police officers, and their superior officers from Section 1983 and malicious prosecution allegations, and summary judgment for the municipal defendant for *Monell* policy and practice allegations.

Goodman v. Babicz, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS J563 (N.D. Ill. 2013). Defense verdict in federal jury trial for police officers arising from Section 1983 and malicious prosecution allegations.

Nickson v. Sanchez, 09 C 6075. Defense verdict in federal jury trial for police officers arising from Section 1983 and malicious prosecution allegations.

Van Williams v. City of Chicago, 09 C 4935. Defense verdict in federal jury trial for police officers arising from Section 1983 and malicious prosecution allegations.

Mickel v. Petit, 08 C 6806. Defense verdict in federal jury trial for police officers arising from Section 1983 and malicious prosecution allegations.

Evans v. City of Chicago, et al., 513 F.3d 735 (7th Cir. 2008). Successful defense of municipal defendant in reverse conviction case alleging the City's policies and practices caused the plaintiff to be wrongfully imprisoned for 27 years.



Selected Cases

Page 1 of 2

Tax Track Systems Corporation v. New Investor World, Inc., 478 F.3d 783 (7th Cir. 2006). Successful defense of company in breach of contract and trade secret lawsuit in the premium financed life insurance business following trial of complaint for preliminary injunction.

Arrington v. Warke, 09 C 6654. Successful defense verdict in jury trial against police officer alleging excessive force and malicious prosecution.

Magee v. City of Chicago, 09 C 5829. Successful defense following jury trial against police officers alleging due process violations, false arrest and malicious prosecution.

Kijowska v. Haines, 463 F.3d 583 (7th Cir. 2006). Successful plaintiff's verdict affirmed on appeal representing mother (pro bono) who filed a complaint under the Hague Convention of Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction.

Mid American Company v. Utility Resources Corporation, Case No. 03 C 2313 (N.D. Ill.). Obtained dismissal of breach of contract claim against client based on provision of energy services.

Norskog v. Pfiel, No. 89985 (Ill. 2001). Represented defendant parents of man convicted of murdering the plaintiff's daughter in a case reaching the Supreme Court of Illinois on two occasions, first with respect to the mental health privilege and second with respect to the areas of social host liability and voluntary undertaking.

Mejia v. White GMC Trucks, Inc., No. 1-00-0073 (1st Dist. 2002). Successfully represented truck manufacturer in product liability claim asserting various defects, including door strength and seat belts, resulting in a decision in the Illinois Appellate Court in favor of the defense on federal preemption grounds pursuant to Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 206.

Gracia v. Volvo Europa Truck, N.V., 112 F.3d 291 (7th Cir. 1997). Represented defendant truck manufacturer in product liability claim arising from the ejection of a passenger through the front windshield during an accident. Summary judgment for the manufacturer affirmed based on federal preemption arising from Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 212.

